

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/047,608	01/14/2002	Leonard Bell	ALXN-P01-059	5748	
7590 01/03/2006		EXAMINER			
Fish & Neave IP Group of			VANDERVEGT	VANDERVEGT, FRANCOIS P	
Ropes & Gray I	LLP		<del></del>		
One International Place Boston, MA 02110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644		

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/047,608	BELL, LEONARD				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Au	ugust 2005 and 07 October 2005	).				
	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-32 and 34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-32 and 34</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>							
•	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) 🛛 Notic	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Ll Inforr Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ателт Арріїсацої (РТО-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/047,608

Art Unit: 1644

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application claims the benefit of the filing date of provisional application 60/262,540. Claims 1-26, 33 and 35-41 have been canceled.

Claims 27-32 and 34 are currently pending and are the subject of examination in the present Office Action.

In view of Applicant's amendments filed August 16, 2005 and October 7, 2005, no outstanding ground of rejection is maintained.

The following new grounds of rejection have been necessitated by Applicant's amendment.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27-32 and 34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 27-32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fitch et al (Circulation [1999] 100:2499-2506, cited on form PTO-1449; of record) in view of Gori et al (Thromb. Haemost [1999] 81:589-593; U on form PTO-892, newly cited).

The claims are drawn to a method of prophylaxis against myocardial infarction in a patient undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass surgery. The method includes the steps of administering a bolus of an anti-inflammatory agent to the patient prior to or at the start of surgery and a subsequent administration of additional anti-inflammatory agent to the patient. It is noted that there is no requirement in the claims that the anti-inflammatory agent administered in step a) is the same as the anti-inflammatory agent administered in step b).

Fitch teaches the administration of a bolus of heparin to patients about to undergo cardiopulmonary bypass surgery (page 2500, first new paragraph of second column in particular). Heparin is commonly referred to as an anti-coagulant, but also serves as an anti-inflammatory agent, as evidenced by Gori. Gori discloses that heparin treatment is associated with a decrease of high plasma levels of tissue factor, which is an inflammatory agent, and monocyte procoagulant activity. Gori further

Application/Control Number: 10/047,608

Art Unit: 1644

discloses that "[t]hese actions of heparin may play a role in determining the antithrombotic and antiinflammatory properties of this drug (Abstract and page 592, end of column 1 in particular). Gori further discloses that "heparin reduces the risk of myocardial infarction and new ischemic events) (page 592, first column in particular). accordingly, Gori discloses that anti-inflammatory action is an inherent property of heparin.

Fitch further teaches the administration of a humanized single chain monoclonal antibody directed to human complement component C5 (h5G1.1-scFv) to the patients subsequent to the administration of heparin when the "activated clotting time was greater than 400 seconds," infusing the antibody over a 10-minute period (page 2500, first new paragraph of second column in particular). Fitch teaches that the nature of the surgery was "coronary artery bypass surgery" (Title and Abstract in particular). While Fitch does not teach the amino acid sequence of the h5G1.1-scFv antibody used in the method, it is noted that the h5G1.1-scFv antibody used by Fitch is the same antibody as the one used in the instant specification. Therefore, the sequence of the antibody is an inherent property that is the same between the antibody of Fitch and that which has been further characterized in the instant specification by disclosure of the amino acid sequence. Applicant is reminded that further characterization of an otherwise old product does not distinguish the product from the prior art because the product itself remains the same, regardless of the method of characterization. See *Ex parte* Novitski (Bd. Pat. App. & Int.) 26 USPQ2d 1389. The prior art teaching anticipates the claimed invention.

## Conclusion

- 3. No claim is allowed.
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office 4. action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should 5. be directed to F. Pierre VanderVegt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0852. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-4:00 and Alternate Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F. Pierre VanderVegt, Ph.D. Patent Examiner

December 23, 2005

David a Saumlers

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 182 / 6 /4